

RASP Work Group Academic Planning and Budget Committee Meeting May 22, 2018

Topics

- 1. RASP Charge
- 2. Metrics Overview
- 3. Metrics Dashboard
- 4. Implementation
- 5. Space Loans
- 6. Administrative Space



- 1. Recommend metrics and associated targets to objectively measure space utilization and productivity.
- 2. Recommend revisions to campus space policy.
- Recommend revisions to space governance structure and clarifications to roles and responsibilities.

METRICS



Defining Utilization and Productivity

- For research space (both "wet" and "dry"):
 - "Utilized" research space is occupied and regularly used by people or equipment for the purpose of conducting or supporting scientific studies.
 - "Productive" research space generates a combination of the following:
 - Financial revenue
 - Scientific impact (definition TBD)
 - Educational impact (definition TBD)



Identifying Metrics for Research Space

- Brainstormed potential metrics for measuring utilization and productivity
- Assessed ~25 metrics against these selection criteria:
 - Incentivizes the effective management and use of space
 - Accurate data exists today in a system
 - Ease of technical implementation
 - Ease of long-term administration (i.e., sustainable)
 - Perceived fairness (i.e., acceptable)
 - Data & methods available upon request (i.e., transparent)
 - Ease of understanding for PIs



Additional Considerations in Selecting Metrics

- Focused on metrics that would be useful from the perspective of the Chancellor (reviewing School performance) and the Deans (reviewing Department performance).
- Declined to define scientific or educational productivity due to lack of relevant expertise.
 - Referred scientific productivity question to Academic Senate Committee on Space
- Metrics should be implementable within a short period of time (end of 2017)



Metrics Selected for Research Space

Metric Name	Metric Description			
ICR / ASF	Indicates how well a school / dept is contributing to the campus' financial sustainability – i.e. helping to cover F+A costs of assigned space			
Expenditures / ASF	Proxy for research activity ("utilization")			
% ASF "PI Pending"	Indicates proportion of a school / department's research space not assigned to a PI (i.e., not "utilized")			
Rooms "PI Pending" >2 Years	Indicates rooms that have been "dormant" (i.e., not "utilized") for a significant period of time			
ASF / Occupant	Proxy for utilization (current data quality insufficient)			
Scientific / Educational Productivity	Considered by chairs or directors as a mitigating factor if other metrics are below target			

Space Financial Health Dashboard Campus View

Department / School	ICR/ASF	% Wet Space	% Dry Space	ICR/ASF Health Index	Total Exp./ASF Health Index	Total Assignment Pending (AP) ASF	%AP of Total ASF
School of Dentistry	\$124	83%	17%	0.82	1.00	22,152	38%
School of Medicine	\$156	70%	30%	1.01	1.00	228,753	18%
School of Nursing	\$179	5%	95%	1.04	1.00	2,160	9%
School of Pharmacy	\$121	77%	23%	0.96	1.00	16,505	13%
Campus Total	\$152	70%	30%	1.00	n/a	270,833	18%

FY16 data



Implementation

- Deans should be held responsible for achieving their respective dashboard targets at the School level.
 - Deans should also be obligated by policy to enforce dashboard targets at the <u>department</u> level within their schools.
- To provide transparency of dashboard metric data:
 - Make annual Control Point and Department-level summary dashboard data available to all UCSF (internal community)
 - Enable individual PI's and space managers to view detailed annual data on their own (assigned) space



Space Loans

For Departments/ORUs:

- Loans of >600 ASF should be generally prohibited, unless:
 - Approved by the appropriate Chancellor's Direct Report (for loans within a Control Point)

<u>or</u>

- Approved by campus-level governance body, such as the Space Management Subcommittee (for loans between Control Points).
- Loans should have a mandatory end/ "review" date.
- Loans should be memorialized & documented in Archibus
- Deans may make space loans. Need documentation.



Administrative Space

- The following three metrics should be used to assess the utilization of administrative space:
 - ASF / Occupant "people density"
 - ASF / Workstation workspace configuration
 - Occupant / Workstation utilization against capacity
- Conduct these steps on a regular or as-needed basis; for each building, each department and control point's space within each building
- Compare each occupant's (departments and control points) space utilization against the building average (or associated target) to identify under/over-performers in a given building
- Publish administrative space data on an annual basis for informational purposes, viewable by all UCSF.



RASP Work Group Members

- Kaveh Ashrafi, Professor, Physiology
- Fran Aweeka, Professor, Clinical Pharmacy
- Janhavi Bonville, Associate EVCP
- Cara Fladd, Director, Campus Planning
- Timothy Greer, Network Manager, ZSFG Dean's Office
- Xiao Hu, Associate Professor, Physiological Nursing
- Mounira Kenaani, Director of Finance & Administration, Dermatology
- Ritesh Khanna, Director, Space Analytics
- Thomas Lang, Associate Dean for Research, School of Dentistry
- Suzanne Murphy, Executive Director, EVCP Administration
- Srikantan Nagarajan, Professor, Radiology

- Michael Nordberg, Associate Dean, School of Pharmacy
- Kira Poskanzer, Asst. Professor, Biochemistry and Biophysics
- Jerome Sak, Director of Institutional Analysis, BRM
- William Seaman, Associate Chair of Medicine for Research, Sch. of Med.
- Vineeta Singh, Professor, Neurology
- Alyssa Tecklenburg, Project Manager, Dept. of Medicine
- Michael Walker, Chief of Staff, Psychiatry
- Karin Wong, Director of Space Strategy, School of Medicine
- Lori Yamauchi, Associate Vice Chancellor, Campus Planning





University of California San Francisco